



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/932,050

08/17/2001

Yoshinori Atsumi

09792909-5142

4510

26263

7590

06/23/2008

SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

P.O. BOX 061080

WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER

CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080

EXAMINER

DOVE, TRACY MAE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1795

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/23/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/932,050

Applicant(s)

ATSUMI ET AL.

Examiner

TRACY DOVE

Art Unit

1795

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/7/08.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4, 15-18 and 22-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4, 15-18 and 22-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/02)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the communication filed on 3/7/08. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive. Claims 1, 4, 15-18 and 22-32 are pending. This Action is FINAL.

Claims Analysis

Claims 1 and 4 recite "a sintered mesophase carbon material prepared by sintering a mesophase carbon material", which is not given patentable weight because it is a product-by-process limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 4, 15-18 and 22-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 1 and 4 recite a binderless anode comprising a sintered mesophase carbon material and a conductive agent comprising Li and a tin or silicon containing metal material. However, Example 2 of the present specification discloses "Mg₂Si was mixed with mesophase carbon not sintered" (page 12). The specification does not appear to disclose a sintered mesophase carbon material in combination with the claimed conductive agent.

Art Unit: 1795

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 22 recites “and mixtures thereof”, which should be deleted or the group should be closed by a single “and”.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 15-18 and 22-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inamasu, JP 10-312789.

Inamasu teaches a nonaqueous electrolyte secondary battery comprising an active material phosphoric acid compound of the formula Li_xFePO_4 (x depends of the valence of Fe) for the positive or negative electrode active material (0007,0011). Note iron has two possible valence states, 2+ or 3+, and phosphate has a 3- charge. Therefore, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. The Li_xFePO_4 active material has an average grain size (particle diameter) of 0.1-100 μm (0020). Examiner points out that Li_xFePO_4 is a preferred compound disclosed by the present specification on page 5. It is important to use a small active material to improve cycle characteristics of the battery (0007). When the phosphoric acid compound is used as the positive active material, the negative

active material may be a carbon material such as graphite, lithium or a lithium alloy. Graphite intercalates (dopes) lithium (0018). Lithium metal, lithium alloy and carbon material are all typical materials used for the negative electrode active material of the nonaqueous secondary battery (0002-0004). The electrode materials may be baked (0021) or sintered (0023). The nonaqueous electrolyte may include an electrolyte solution comprising an organic solvent (e.g., propylene carbonate) and an electrolyte salt (e.g., LiClO_4) (0012).

The electrodes may include conductive agents, binders or fillers (0013). The electrodes are configured into a film-like structure (molded body) (0009). The negative electrode may include silicon or germanium (0018). Inamasu does not explicitly state the negative electrode material is a binderless sintered material. However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because Inamasu teaches the anode optionally includes a binder (may or may not be present). One of skill would have known that sintered carbonaceous anode materials are generally formed without use of a binder, while non-sintered carbonaceous anode materials are generally formed with the use of a binder. Inamasu teaches a sintered electrode material.

The type of starting carbon material is considered obvious in view of the teachings of Inamasu. Product-by-process limitations, in the absence of unexpected results, are obvious.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/7/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Inamasu uses a binder for the negative electrode, which is in contrast to the claimed invention that requires a binderless sintered carbon material for the anode. However, Inamasu does not require a binder for the negative electrode. Inamasu teaches

the electrodes may include conductive agents, binders or fillers (0013). Thus, Inamasu merely teaches a binder for the anode is optional. One of skill would have known that electrodes that are subjected to sintering are typically not formed using a binder.

Applicant argues Examiner's position that one would have been motivated to select a sintered carbon material because sintered carbon materials are "generally formed without use of a binder" is wholly unsupported. However, Applicant admits as much on page 7 of the present specification that discloses no binder is used for a sintered carbon material. Furthermore, Moriguchi US 6,576,369 teaches "in general, a graphite powder is applied to a metal base serving as a current collector with the aid of a suitable binder and is shaped thereon. Alternatively, a sintered electrode may be produced from the graphite powder without use of a binder" (15:31-38).

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). At least Moriguchi teaches sintered carbon material anodes are generally formed without the use of a binder.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Dove whose telephone number is 571-272-1285. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00-7:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Tracy Dove/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795
June 17, 2008